

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Applicant: PF CONCEPT INTERNATIONAL COOPERATIEF U.A
SCHIPHOLWEG 59
2316 ZL LEIDEN
THE NETHERLANDS
Attn: JASMINE GU

Date: Apr 24, 2026

Sample Description:

Item Name : Riviera 340 ml ceramic mug/ Aztec 340 ml ceramic mug/ Pascal 360 ml ceramic mug/ Olympia 130 ml stackable espresso cup with clay bottom/ Bari 240 ml ceramic mug with glossy finish/ Carter 450 ml ceramic mug with plastic lid/ Cali 370 ml ceramic mug with matt finish/ Chalk-write 330 ml ceramic mug/ Ross 280 ml ceramic mug/ Bari 240 ml ceramic sublimation mug/ Dolce 300 ml ceramic mug with matt finish

Item No. : 10047605- BK/YW, 10047702- WH/RD, 10054000-BK, 10080555-NY
10084451-OBL, 10089601-WH, 10090090-BK, 10052600-WH
10072690-BK, 10084501-WH, 10085501-WH,

Vendor : #11236/#12331

Goods Exported To : Europe

Country Of Origin : China

P.O. No. : PO#675113/ 675293/ 674984/ 674652/ 674980/ 674269/ 674985/ 674696/ 674979/ 674671/ 674447

Date Sample Received : 16 Apr, 2026

Testing Period : 16 Apr, 2026 To 23 Apr, 2026

Tests Conducted:

As requested by the applicant, for details refer to attached page(s).

Conclusion:

Tested sample	Standard	Result
Submitted sample	BS EN 12875-1: 2005 Mechanical dishwashing resistance of utensils – Part 1: Reference test method for domestic articles	See test conducted

To be continued

Authorized By:
For Intertek Testing Services Ltd., Shanghai



Bill Zhang
General Manager



Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Rivera 340 ml ceramic mug-10047605

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Aztec 340 ml ceramic mug-10047702

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Pascal 360 ml ceramic mug-10054000

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Olympia 130 ml stackable espresso cup with clay bottom-10080555

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Bari 240 ml ceramic mug with glossy finish-10084451

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Carter 450 ml ceramic mug with plastic lid-10089601

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Cali 370 ml ceramic mug with matt finish-10090090

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Chalk-write 330 ml ceramic mug -10052600

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Ross 280 ml ceramic mug - 10072690

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Bari 240 ml ceramic sublimation mug - 10084501

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted

BS EN 12875-1: 2005 MECHANICAL DISHWASHING RESISTANCE OF UTENSILS

As requested by the applicant, the submitted samples were subjected to the following test:

Sample description: Dolce 300 ml ceramic mug with matt finish - 10085501

Number of sample tested: Three (3) pieces per style for test and one (1) piece for control sample per style.

Initial inspection: No any damage was found as sample received stage.

Number of cycle: Fifty (50) cycles.

Number of style: One (1) style.

Material type: Ceramic

Classification: Classification 0

NOTE:

Analysis and Evaluation:

1. Visual check on criteria listed in Table 1. Use the guideline in Table 2 for evaluation.
2. Functional check (e.g. if the lid still fits on the container).
3. In case more than one sample was tested, the average of the single rating is summarized in an overall rating of all test samples to have a representative result.

Table 1 – Inspection criteria

Articles with or without decoration	Color ¹⁾	Gloss	Clouding	Resistant deposits and iridescent layers ²⁾	Other aspects
Ceramic tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Glass, glass ceramic ware	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{4) 5)}
Vitreous enameled tableware	+	+		+	+ ^{3) 4) 5)}
Plastic articles	+	+	+ ⁶⁾	+	+ ^{7) 3)}

Reference:

+ To be inspected.

- 1) If several colors are present on one article to be inspected, the color with the greatest change shall be chosen.
- 2) For the elimination of easily removable deposits.
- 3) E.g. crazing.
- 4) The adherence of decorations shall be tested by repeated wiping with a moist linen cloth under slight pressure.
- 5) Abrasion which is caused by friction during the dishwasher treatment shall be disregarded.
- 6) Transparent articles only.
- 7) Swelling, deformation, cracking or delamination.

Table 2 – Classification

Classification	Rating
0	No visible change
1	First discernible change
2	Clearly visible change

Test Report

Number: SHAH01949011

Tests Conducted



Picture 1



Picture 2: Dishwasher test



Picture 3: Dishwasher test



Picture 4: Dishwasher test

Date Sample Received: Apr.16, 2026
Testing Period: Apr.16, 2026 to Apr.21, 2026

End Of Report

The statements of conformity reported have considered the decision rule agreed, namely that Intertek have taken account of measurement uncertainty as calculated by Intertek, and applied according to ILAC-G8/09:2019 (Non-binary acceptance based on guard band $w = U$) except designation from the customer, regulation or test specification. This decision rule only applies to the numeric test results.

The sample(s) and sample information hereto are provided by the client who shall be solely responsible for the authenticity and integrity thereof. The results shown in this report relate only to the sample(s) received and tested. It is not intended to be a recommendation for any particular course of action. Intertek does not accept a duty of care or any other responsibility to any person other than the Client in respect of this report and only accepts liability to the Client insofar as is expressly contained in the terms and conditions governing Intertek's provision of services to you. Intertek makes no warranties or representations either express or implied with respect to this report save as provided for in those terms and conditions. We have aimed to conduct the review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or wilful misconduct. This report shall not be reproduced unless with prior written approval from Intertek Testing Services Ltd., Shanghai